	Case 3:12-cv-00218-MMD-VPC Docur	ment 11 Filed 09/25/12 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8		
9	ROBERT M. REIGER,	}
10	Petitioner,) 3:12-cv-00218-LRH-VPC
11	vs.	ORDER
12	DWIGHT NEVENS, et al.,))
13	Respondents.) /
14		-
15	This action is a <i>pro se</i> petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254,	
16	by a Nevada state prisoner. Petitioner has submitted the filing fee for this action.	
17	This Court received the petition on April 19, 2012. (ECF No. 1). The Court granted	
18	petitioner's prior motion for an extension of time, presumably to amend the petition. (ECF No. 6).	
19	To date, petitioner has not filed an amended petition. As such, this action shall proceed on the	
20	original petition. (ECF No. 1). The petition shall now be filed and served on respondents.	
21	A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is	
22	aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from	
23	seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions).	
24	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall ELECTRONICALLY SERVE the	
25	petition (ECF No. 1, including all sub-parts) upon the respondents.	
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from entry of	
27	this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other	
28	response, respondents shall address all claims p	resented in the petition. Respondents shall raise all
	1	

1	potentia
2	procedu
3	respond
4	United S
5	forty-fi
6	
7	be filed
8	of all sta
9	Divisio
10	
11	General
12	conside
13	certifica
14	General
15	respond
16	Attorne
17	
18	Attorne
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed, espondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the Reno Division of the Clerk of Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General assigned to the case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion (ECF No. 9) to respond to U.S. Attorney's pleading is **DENIED**, as no such filing is found in the Court's docket.

Dated this 25th day of September, 2012.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Elsihe

2